G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1887

~~192~~ .

(G. R.)

File No. 27

Serial Nos. 1 - 5

Later references.

G.R. 1887/1937

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 5

~~Part B for~~ June 1888.

SUBJECT.

Complaint of Soondargee gossie of Jamdhait at Sangaur against the Sait, for encroachments of lands belonging to the Sait.

(D 223—41Ps) 26,000—11-6-29

Memo.

* Petition presented on the 16th March 88.

Appeal from Sundara giri Gosai of Gavipur in the Bangalore Taluk

---

* No. 2/38) 18.2.88. (attached & the appeal petition)

This is an appeal against an order of the Dy. Commr. affirming that the appellant has no right to the trees, Kattarams, devasthanam &c. standing on certain Kharab land included in his Mat Inam.

2. Narain giri Gosai which Mat is at Gavipur & under the management of the appt. is according to the Jain account of 1808 entitled to an Inam consisting of dry 1 3/4 Kh. & wet 19 Kudus only.*

3. At the survey & measurement of the above Inam, together

* Vide account rec'd from the Dy. Commr.

with a good deal of the
adjoining Govt land,
was made in 3 Nos
of which the total area
is acres 65/3. Out of
this a deduction has
been made for Khrab
of acres 12/36 on account
of the chattrams
Topes &c standing
thereon, and referred
to in the Dy Comm's
order quoted above.
4. The appellant had
produced a decree of the
Court of the late addl
Moonsiff of Bangalore,
confirmed in appeal
by the Chief Court in
which a certain Manta-
-pam, wells, trees &
flower plants standing
in 6 Ballams of land
are declared to belong
to

3

& the appellants' mat. But the survey no. of which the said 6 Ballams form a part has not been specified.

5. The Survey Supt. in his Gadi & the Dy. Comr. states that the 3 survey nos. in question entered in the survey papers as one, contain an area of 7 acres 28 guntas which has not yet been brought under cultivation & that the same will be broken up & measured into a separate survey no. unless the boundaries & the areas agrees & the areas being duly changed.

The Survey Supt. [redacted] says that the Dy. (appt.) has no [redacted] & the chattra[redacted]

X

X marked No. 2 on the files.

X written by Supt

on the Khrab land
above referred to.
6. The Dt. Commr.
order complained of
by the appt. was based
on the aforesaid Qadi
from the Survey Supt.
7. The trees in the
Inam Rs. in question
appear to have been
planted for charitable
purposes by persons
who are nevertheless
said to have some
times appropriated
their produce to their
own use. The appellant
prays that his right
over the trees standing
on his Inam land
may be affirmed.
He further complains
[redacted] Amildars having
[redacted] sold by public

5 auction a decayed
mango tree standing
on his Inam land &
applies for the sale
proceeds being
made over to him.
The papers connected
with the alleged
sale have not been
received.
Submitted for orders.
KK

order.

Vide opinion that the inclusion of the temple, charitable trees, wells & Chattrams within the limits of fields confirmed to Petr is likely to lead to disputes in the future. That the sites occupied by them have been described as included Khata in Survey accounts is not sufft. protection ~~to such sites~~ for the public interests involved ~~trustee~~ The Survey Supt. will accordingly be requested to cause the exclusion from Survey Inam Rs. recorded in Petr's name, all

land not covered by
the original grant. This
point ought to be
~~decided by the~~
Carefully investigated by
the Dept. Court, ~~into~~ upon
~~referring~~ the available
Evidence & the result
reported to the Legislature of N. Jersey —
Charitable Chancellors, wells of
~~to~~ when not
clearly shown to be within
the limits of the Grant as
originally granted, or where proved
to have been built or
planted by others than
the Grantee (~~& without his~~
Consent) should of course
be excluded from the Grant
& made into separate
Lots.

It is Evident that the encroachments
in question have been effected
with the Collusion of the Surveyors
whose Contract was only furnished
by the Dept Court

Respectfully Yours

N. York June 1858

In Inthiraja's Deposition - 7

Survey No.	Total Extent. A. G.	Kharab A. G.	Balance A. G.	Assessment Rs. As.
2 —	17 - 31.	— 0 - 23.	— 17 - 8.	— 20 — 0 — 0
48 —	13 - 13	— 7 - 13	— 6 — 0	— 6 — 8 — 0
50 —	33 - 39	— 5 - 0.	— 28 - 39.	— 63 — 0 — 0
	65 - 3.	— 12 - 36.	— 52 - 7.	89 — 8 — 0

All the above 3 nos were shown in Survey Sket as Goraichawa

From Survey Inspector's No. 65) of 22nd Feb: 1883, it appears that at the settlement of Excess in the Inam, out of the cultivable area of 52 Acres 7 Gunta.

Acres 23 - 39 — have been recognized as Inam.

2 Acres 28 - 8. as Gor? Land.

52 - 7

Acres.

The Excess 28 - 8 has been taken up by the Inamdar on full assessment which has not as yet been determined.

The Amildar recommended.

(1). that the Inam land should be made into a Separate No.

(2). that the Kharab land included in Nos. 48 & 50. on which Charitable Chatrams & Mantap? Std. be made into a Separate No.

(3). that the Excess land be made into a Separate No.

According to Rect. of Parabhava (1546-47) –

Gosavi Sundarajeri Bavajii Inam.

+ Dry – No. 140.
141.
142
143.
144
147.
2
8

Wet — 145.

} 9 Numbers.

	K.	K.	M.
Total Bijavari	2	14	10 3/4

At Inam Settl.

	K.	K.	M.	
Dry —	1	15	11/2	K – K. M.
Wet —	0	14	9 3/4	2 – 15 – 0 1/4
	= Acres 2-1-0			

In Parabhava Rect.

	K.	C.	M.		
No 149 —	0	– 0	– 5.	Under water of tank	Entered in Sundarajeri Bavajis name not as Inam
No 153 —	0	– 0	– 10 3/4	Topa, Tank	

In the Rect of Subhanu (1559-60) – Nos 149 & 153 were shown as Nos 63 & 63 & entered as Gosavi Inam –

In the Survey.

Nos. 149 & 153 of 1546-47 } and Wet No 145. were measured
Nos. 63 & 63 of 1559-60 }

as Survey No 50 = Acres. 33 – 39
Deduct Kharab. 5 – 0

28 – 39

Assessment. Rs 63,

This was entered as Gosavi Inam

10

2–
48
50.



D

3624

ਪਰ ਮੈਂ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਜੋ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਪਿਆਰ ਕਰਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਵੀ ਜੋ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਨਫ਼ਰਤ ਕਰਦੇ ਹਨ।

12

42

From the office No. 1005 of 88-3-28

No. 911 (3)

Mysore Dewan's Office,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 3624, dated 6th March 88, received at the Dewan's Office from Commissioner Civil & Sessions Bangalore Dist

is forwarded, together with its Six enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore Dist for Submission of Proceedings with Comparative Statement for the year 1887-88.

Draft

BANGALORE,
6th March 1888.

By Order,

Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—7-1-88.—1,000.

(General Serial No. 31.)

1887-88

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE 9405 MP 93 601

From The Superintendent Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 8th March, 1888

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2 file

General No. 1005. 1887-88.

Chief Secretary's Room

Letter dated 5th instant forwarding to submit the Proceedings connected with the complaint of Sankagiri Gokal of Guriyur, Bangalore Taluk.

In returning the enclosures received with the above letter I have to forward the Proceedings and the Enumeration Settlement for the Year Fasli 1808-9, as called for in the above

By Command

Secl

14

S.A.4

Read:

Petition dated 16th March 1888 from Sundaragiri Gosai of Narayangiri Gosai Matt at Goripur in the Bangalore Dt appealing against the order of the Dy Comr Bangalore Dt affirming that the appellant had no right to the trees, Chattrams Devastanams &c standing on certain Kharab land included in his Matt Inam.

Docket No 1005 Dy 28th March 1888 from the Dy Comr, Bangalore Dt transmitting the Proceedings connected with the case.

Order thereon Cavaly Lobby dated Utpore, 4th June 1888

Mr Devan is of opinion that the inclusion of the temple, Chattrams, table topes, wells and Chattrams within the limits of fields assessed to Peta is likely to lead to disputes in the future. That the sites as enjoined by them have been described as included in Khanab

...ge ... is not sufficient protection for the public interests involved in the Surveyor's office that will accordingly be requested to execute the ... election ... in numbers required ... Whereas, all Land not owned by the original grantee this point ought to be carefully investigated in the County Commissioners ... upon the most reliable evidence and the most respected to the Superintendent of Revenue Surveyor Charitable Institutions, which found to be not materially shown to be within the limits of the Survey as originally granted, or have proved to have been built or planted by other than the Surveyor (without their consent) should ... be excluded from the Survey and made into separate numbers.

It is ... that the

encroachments in question have been effected with the collusion of the Shambhog whose conduct should be duly furnished by the Deputy Commissioner.

Draft.
R.V.
Chief Secretary

To
The Deputy Commr. Bangalore
District returning the
papers received from him.
re Sriperumbudur Rev Survey.

Petition

1888

20

To the Governor

in Council

the petition of [illegible] praying [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Draft

By order

Chief Secretary

1888-9

18

475

(5)

3624

a